



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/865,001	05/24/2001	Marc Noel Blais	ROC9-2000-0162-US1	3815
46296	7590 10/27/2004		EXAM	INER
MARTIN & ASSOCIATES, LLC			ALI, SYED J	
IBM INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW DEPARTMENT				D. DDD 1711/DDD
DEPARTMENT 917, BUILDING 006-1			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
3605 HIGHWAY 52 NORTH			2127	
ROCHESTER, MN 55901-7829			DATE MAILED: 10/27/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/865,001	BLAIS ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Syed J Ali	2127				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thi iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI tute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed try (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24	4 May 2004.					
	his action is non-final.					
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	drawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 24 May 2004 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the com 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ obje he drawing(s) be held in abeya rection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documed as a copies of the priority documed as ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documed application from the International Burnets * See the attached detailed Office action for a light section.	ents have been received. ents have been received in A riority documents have beer eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)	_					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date				
Notice of Braitsperson's Patent Brawing Review (FT0-946) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PT0-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date	F-7	nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2127

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

1. Claims 1-18 are pending in this application.

Double Patenting

2. Claims 1-18 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine

of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-2, 6, 13-

16and 18-20 of copending Application No. 09/812,619 (Schmidt).

3. While the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct

from each other because the "first compilation unit" of the instant application is referred

to more broadly as "an object oriented program" in Schmidt. Essentially, the "first

compilation unit" is a set of classes, which is encompassed by the "object oriented

program" that is claimed in Schmidt. There are numerous corresponding dependent

claims as well. Other independent claims of the instant application are related to claim 1.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that

form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United

States.

Application/Control Number: 09/865,001 Page 3

Art Unit: 2127

5. Claims 1, 4, 6, 8, 10-12, and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being

anticipated by Whaley et al. ("Compositional Pointer and Escape Analysis for Java

Programs") (hereinafter Whaley).

6. As per claim 1, Whaley teaches the invention as claimed, including an apparatus,

comprising:

at least one processor (Abstract);

a memory coupled to the at least one processor (Abstract);

a first compilation unit residing in the memory, the first compilation unit

comprising a plurality of object oriented classes that are part of an object oriented

program, wherein the object oriented program is defined by the combination of the first

compilation unit and at least one other compilation unit (§§ 3.1, 3.2); and

a compiler residing in the memory and executed by the at least one processor

(§1.2), the compiler allocating at least one object in the first compilation unit to an

invocation stack frame for a method in the first compilation unit that allocates the at least

one object ($\S7.2$).

7. As per claim 4, Whaley teaches the invention as claimed, including the apparatus

of claim 1 wherein the compiler comprises:

a code generator that creates two versions of code for a selected object method, a

first version using stack allocation of objects (§7.2) and a second version using heap

allocation of objects (§§1.1, 7.2); and

a run time code selector that selects one of the first and second versions to execute at run time based on a determination of whether classes seen at run time match expected classes within predetermined limits ($\S\S1.2, 7.2, \S1$).

- 8. As per claim 6, Whaley teaches the invention as claimed, including a method for allocating objects to memory in an object oriented program that comprises a first compilation unit and at least one other compilation unit (§§3.1, 3.2), the method comprising the steps of:
 - (A) compiling the first compilation unit (§1.2);
 - (B) during the compiling of the first compilation unit, allocating at least one object that is created by an instruction in the first compilation unit to an invocation stack frame for a method that allocates the at least one object (§7.2).
- 9. As per claim 8, Whaley teaches the invention as claimed, including the method of claim 6 wherein step (B) comprises the steps of:

creating two versions of code for a selected object method, a first version using stack allocation of objects (§7.2) and a second version using heap allocation of objects (§§1.1, 7.2); and

selecting at run time one of the first and second versions to execute at run time based on a determination of whether classes seen at run time match expected classes within predetermined limits (§§1.2, 7.2, 8.1).

10. As per claim 10, Whaley teaches the invention as claimed, including a program product comprising:

a compiler that compiles a first compilation unit comprising a plurality of object oriented classes that are part of an object oriented program (§1.2), wherein the object oriented program is defined by the combination of the first compilation unit and at least one other compilation unit (§§ 3.1, 3.2), the compiler allocating at least one object in the first compilation unit to an invocation stack frame for a method in the first compilation unit that allocates the at least one object ($\S7.2$); and

signal bearing media bearing the compiler (Abstract).

- 11. As per claim 11, Whaley teaches the invention as claimed, including the program product of claim 10 wherein the signal bearing media comprises recordable media (Abstract).
- As per claim 12, Whaley teaches the invention as claimed, including the program 12. product of claim 10 wherein the signal bearing media comprises transmission media (Abstract).
- 13. As per claim 15, Whaley teaches the invention as claimed, including the program product of claim 10 wherein the compiler comprises:

a code generator that creates two versions of code for a selected object method, a first version using stack allocation of objects (§7.2) and a second version using heap allocation of objects (§§1.1, 7.2); and

Art Unit: 2127

a run time code selector that selects one of the first and second versions to execute at run time based on a determination of whether classes seen at run time match expected classes within predetermined limits (§§1.2, 7.2, 8.1).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 14. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 15. Claims 2-3, 5, 7, 9, 13-14, and 16-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Whaley in view of Choi et al. ("Escape Analysis for Java") (hereinafter Choi).
- 16. As per claim 2, Choi teaches the invention as claimed, including the apparatus of claim 1 wherein the compiler comprises:

an escape analysis mechanism that marks each instruction in the first compilation unit that allocates a new object as one of global escape, no escape, and arg escape based on information available from classes visible in the first compilation unit (§2.1, Proposition 2.3); and

an object allocation mechanism that allocates at least one object that is created by an instruction marked as no escape by the escape analysis mechanism to an invocation stack frame for a method that allocates the object (§2.1, Proposition 2.3).

Art Unit: 2127

17. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine Whaley

Page 7

and Choi since the markings provided by Choi provides a simple data model for the

extensive analysis procedures performed by Whaley (Choi, Abstract; Whaley, §§1-1.2).

18. As per claim 3, Choi teaches the invention as claimed, including the apparatus of

claim 2 wherein the escape analysis mechanism marks each instruction in the first

compilation unit that allocates a new object as one of global escape, no escape, and arg

escape based on information available from classes visible in the first compilation unit

(§2.1, Proposition 2.3) and from classes that are outside the first compilation unit that are

visible in a specified classpath (§§2.1, Proposition 2.3; 4).

19. As per claim 5, Whaley teaches the invention as claimed, including an apparatus

comprising:

at least one processor (Abstract);

a memory coupled to the at least one processor (Abstract);

a first compilation unit residing in the memory, the first compilation unit

comprising a plurality of object oriented classes that are part of an object oriented

program, wherein the object oriented program is defined by the combination of the first

compilation unit and at least one other compilation unit (§§ 3.1, 3.2); and

a compiler residing in the memory and executed by the at least one processor

(§1.2), the compiler comprising:

a code generator that creates two versions of code for a selected object method, a first version using stack allocation of objects (§7.2) and a second version using heap allocation of objects (§§1.1, 7.2); and

a run time code selector that selects one of the first and second versions to execute at run time based on a determination of whether classes seen at run time match expected classes within predetermined limits ($\S\S1.2, 7.2, \S1$).

20. Choi teaches the invention as claimed, including the compiler further comprising:

an escape analysis mechanism that marks each instruction in the first compilation unit that allocates a new object as one of global escape, no escape, and arg escape based on information available from classes visible in the first compilation unit and from classes that are outside the first compilation unit that are visible in a specified classpath (§2.1, Proposition 2.3); and

an object allocation mechanism that allocates at least one object that is created by an instruction marked as no escape by the escape analysis mechanism to an invocation stack frame for a method that allocates the object (§2.1, Proposition 2.3).

21. As per claim 7, Choi teaches the invention as claimed, including the method of claim 6 wherein step (B) comprises the steps of:

marking each instruction that allocates a new object as one of global escape, no escape, and arg escape based on information available from classes in the first compilation unit and from classes that are outside the first compilation unit that are visible in a specified classpath (§2.1, Proposition 2.3); and

Art Unit: 2127

allocating at least one object that is created by an instruction marked as no escape by the escape analysis mechanism to an invocation stack frame for a method that allocates the at least one object (§2.1, Proposition 2.3).

As per claim 9, Whaley teaches the invention as claimed, including in an object oriented computer program that comprises a first compilation unit and at least one other compilation unit, a method for allocating objects in the first compilation unit to memory, the method comprising the steps of:

creating two versions of code for a selected object method, a first version using stack allocation of objects ($\S7.2$) and a second version using heap allocation of objects ($\S1.1, 7.2$); and

selecting at run time one of the first and second versions to execute at run time based on a determination of whether classes seen at run time match expected classes within predetermined limits (§§1.2, 7.2, 8.1).

- 23. Choi teaches the invention as claimed, including the method further comprising: marking each instruction that allocates a new object as one of global escape, no escape, and arg escape based on information available from classes in the first compilation unit and from classes that are outside the first compilation unit that are visible in a specified classpath (§2.1, Proposition 2.3).
- 24. As per claim 13, Choi teaches the invention as claimed, including the program product of claim 10 wherein the compiler comprises:

Art Unit: 2127

an escape analysis mechanism that marks each instruction in the first compilation unit that allocates a new object as one of global escape, no escape, and arg escape based on information available from classes visible in the first compilation unit (§2.1,

Proposition 2.3); and

an object allocation mechanism that allocates at least one object that is created by an instruction marked as no escape by the escape analysis mechanism to an invocation stack frame for a method that allocates the object (§2.1, Proposition 2.3).

- As per claim 14, Choi teaches the invention as claimed, including the program product of claim 13 wherein the escape analysis mechanism marks each instruction in the first compilation unit that allocates a new object as one of global escape, no escape, and arg escape based on information available from classes visible in the first compilation unit (§2.1, Proposition 2.3) and from classes that are outside the first compilation unit that are visible in a specified classpath (§§2.1, Proposition 2.3; 4).
- 26. As per claim 16, Whaley teaches the invention as claimed, including a program product comprising:
 - (A) a compiler that compiles a first compilation unit comprising a plurality of object oriented classes that are part of an object oriented program (§1.2), wherein the object oriented program is defined by the combination of the first compilation unit and at least one other compilation unit (§§ 3.1, 3.2), the compiler comprising:

Art Unit: 2127

Page 11

- (A3) a code generator that creates two versions of code for a selected object method, a first version using stack allocation of objects (§7.2) and a second version using heap allocation of objects (§§1.1, 7.2); and
- (A4) a run time code selector that selects one of the first and second versions to execute at run time based on a determination of whether classes seen at run time match expected classes within predetermined limits (§§1.2, 7.2, 8.1); and
- (B) signal bearing media bearing the compiler (Abstract).
- 27. Choi teaches the invention as claimed, including the compiler further comprising:
 - (A1) an escape analysis mechanism that marks each instruction that allocates a new object as one of global escape, no escape, and arg escape based on information available from classes in the first compilation unit and from classes that are outside the first compilation unit that are visible in a specified classpath (§2.1, Proposition 2.3); and
 - (A2) an object allocation mechanism that allocates at least one object that is created by an instruction marked as no escape by the escape analysis mechanism to an invocation stack frame for a method that allocates the object (§2.1, Proposition 2.3).
- As per claim 17, Whaley teaches the invention as claimed, including the program product of claim 16 wherein said signal bearing media comprises recordable media (Abstract).

Art Unit: 2127

29. As per claim 18, Whaley teaches the invention as claimed, including the program

Page 12

product of claim 16 wherein said signal bearing media comprises transmission media

(Abstract).

Conclusion

30. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Syed J Ali whose telephone number is (571) 272-3769.

The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8-5:30, 2nd Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Meng-Ai T An can be reached on (571) 272-3756. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status

information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For

more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you

have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business

Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Syed Ali

October 19, 2004

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100